

- 01 Our application.
- 02 Responses to our application (not a document)
 - 02.1 The initial bulk of responses.
 - page 1. City of Boulder Open Space and Mts. Parks - no conflict
 - page 2 BVSD - no conflict
 - page 3. Boulder County Sheriff's Office - no conflict
 - page 4. Rocky Mt Fire - letter enclosed page 5
 - page 6. CDOT access - no comment
 - page 7. County Building Division - letter enclosed page 8
 - page 9 - 11. neighbors - no conflict
 - page 12. neighbor - no conflict, very supportive
 - page 13 - 16. neighbors - no conflict
 - 02.2 Boulder County Public Health - 2 pages of boiler plate, standard verbiage. Our response is that we will comply.
 - 02.3 email communications with city planning department about their anticipated response. At the beginning of page 2, they indicate no problems.
 - 02.4 Boulder County Long Range Planner response - negative, recommends annexation.
 - 02.5 City of Boulder Long Range Planner response. Note that it was received after Boulder County's response and refers to wording in the County's response and supports the County's response. - negative, since county says "new Urban Development", city supports county and recommends annexation.
 - 02.6 County Transportation Planner - comments - parking lot layout is addressed by our consultant (Bob Perletz). A traffic analysis was done by our traffic engineer after consultation with the county. Now they request a comprehensive traffic study. \$3500 later we now have a full traffic study with the same results.
- 03 A county summary of responses.
- 04 Response to the Fire Department followed by an on site visit and noted in the next response.
- 05 Our intermediate response to many issues and indicating progress.
- 06 Full Traffic Study. This addresses their request and changes nothing.
- 07 My final response to the county, our indication not to apply for annexation (which the county verified) and our request to move forward with review process.
- 08 email to county answering a few questions and requesting April Planning Commission review date.
- 09 email from Bob Perletz with the targeted points for our community to stress. Bob feels it is better that we have a few strong points to emphasize than to spread our ideas too thin. Sound good to me but if someone has other strong points to make, I support that too.

